IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 912 of 1993

Smt.Rahibai Nagnath Gangane ...Petitioner

vs.

Shri.Shankar Sadashiv Sawant ...Respondent

Mr.Umesh Malkapure @ Mr.R.V.More, for Petitioner.

Mr.G.S.Godbole, for Respondent no.1.

CORAM: R.M.S.Khandeparkar,J.

DATED: 1st October,2004.

P.C.:-

1. Learned Advocate for the respondents states that consequent to the refusal of interim relief, the possession of the suit premises was obtained by the respondent on 25.9.1997. Learned Advocate for the petitioner states that inspite of efforts made on his part there are no instructions being received from the petitioner and he has no further instructions in the matter. In view of the said statements and considering the fact that the possession of the suit premises have already been taken by the respondent, it is apparent that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the matter.

2. Considering the same, petition dismissed for non prosecution. Rule stands discharged with no order as to costs.

1.10.2003 (R.M.S.Khandeparkar, J.)